

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| | | | |
|---------------|--|----------------|----------------------|
| Applicant(s): | U. HANNSMANN et al. | Examiner | Ann J. Chempakaseril |
| Serial No. | 10/539,644 | Group Art Unit | 2166 |
| Filed | June 15, 2005 | Docket No. | DE920020028US1 |
| TITLE | A METHOD FOR PROVIDING OF CONTENT DATA TO A CLIENT | | |

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted through the USPTO EFS-Web system over the Internet to Ann J. Chempakaseril of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on June 5, 2008.

/David Victor/

David W. Victor

**AMENDMENT SUBMITTED CONCURRENTLY WITH
REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION (RCE)**

This Amendment is submitted in response to a final office action in the above case dated February 5, 2008 ("Final Office Action") and an Advisory Action dated May 21, 2008 and concurrently with an RCE. In the Final Office Action, the Examiner rejected all pending claims 1, 2, 10, 16, 17, 19-21, and 28-31 as obvious (35 U.S.C. §103) over cited art. The Examiner did not enter the After Final Amendment dated May 5, 2008. Applicants held a phone interview with the Examiner on May 8, 2008 discussing amendments and arguments, which the Examiner indicated could help advance prosecution. Applicants submit the discussed amendments and arguments herein. Applicants further cancel all non-method claims 10, 19-21, 28-31, and 41-44. Applicants traverse the prior art rejections and submit that all pending claims 1, 2, 16, 17, 39, and 40 are patentable over the cited art and in condition for allowance for the reasons discussed herein.

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 4.